arXiv:1403.2546v2 [math.FA] 28 Apr 2014

A PICARD-S HYBRID TYPE ITERATION METHOD FOR SOLVING A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH RETARDED ARGUMENT

FAIK GÜRSOY AND VATAN KARAKAYA

Abstract. We introduce a new iteration method called Picard-S iteration. We show that the Picard-S iteration method can be used to approximate the fixed point of contraction mappings. Also, we show that our new iteration method is equivalent and converges faster than CR iteration method for the aforementioned class of mappings. Furthermore, by providing an example, it is shown that the Picard-S iteration method converges faster than all Picard, Mann, Ishikawa, Noor, SP, CR, S and some other iteration methods in the existing literature. A data dependence result is proven for fixed point of contraction mappings with the help of the new iteration method. Finally, we show that the Picard-S iteration method can be used to solve differential equations with retarded argument.

1. Introduction

Fixed point theory has been appeared as one of the most powerful and substantial theoretical tools of mathematics. This theory has a long history and has been studied intensively by many researchers in various aspects. The main objective of studies in the fixed point theory is to find solutions for the following equation which is commonly known as fixed point equation:

$$Tx = x, \tag{1.1}$$

where T is a self-map of an ambient space X and $x \in X$. A wide variety of problems arise in all areas of physical, chemical and biological sciences, engineering, economics, and management can be modelled by linear or nonlinear equations of form

$$Fx = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where F is a linear or nonlinear operator. Equations of form (1.2) can be easily reformulated as a fixed point equation of form (1.1). Since equation (1.1) has the same solution as the original equation (1.1), finding solutions of equation (1.1) leads to solutions of equation (1.2). To solve equations given by (1.1), two types of methods are normally used: direct methods and iterative methods. Due to various reasons, direct methods can be impractical or fail in solving equations (1.1), and thus iterative methods become a viable alternative. For this reason, the iterative approximation of fixed points has become one of the major and basic tools in the theory of equations. Consequently, the literature of this highly dynamic research

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H06, 54H25, 34L05.

Key words and phrases. Iteration Methods, New iteration method, Picard-S iteration, Rate of Convergence, Data Dependence of Fixed Points, Contraction Mappings, Differential Equations with Retarded Argument.

area abounds many iterative methods that have been introduced and developed by a wide audience of researchers to serve various purposes, viz., [12, 13, 14, 21, 23, 29, 39, 42, 43] among others.

We begin our exposition with an overview of various iterative methods.

We will denote the set of all positive integers including zero by $\mathbb{N}$ over the course of this paper. Let D be a nonempty convex subset of a Banach space B, and T a self map of D. A point $x_* \in D$ satisfying $Tx = x$ is called fixed point of T, and the set of all fixed point of T denoted by F_T. Let $\left\{\eta_n^i\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $i \in \{0,1,2\}$ be real sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying certain control condition(s).

The most popular and simplest iteration method is formulated by

$$\begin{cases} p_0 \in D, \\ p_{n+1} = Tp_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

and is known as Picard iteration method [33], which is commonly used to approximate fixed point of contraction mappings satisfying

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \delta \|x - y\|,\ \delta \in (0,1),\ \text{for all } x, y \in B. \tag{1.4}$$

The following iteration methods are referred to as Mann [26], Ishikawa [18], Noor [27], SP [32], S [2, 38] and CR [10] iteration methods, respectively:

$$\begin{cases} \nu_0 \in D, \\ \nu_{n+1} = \left(1-\eta_n^0\right)\nu_n + \eta_n^0 T\nu_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

$$\begin{cases} \upsilon_0 \in D, \\ \upsilon_{n+1} = \left(1-\eta_n^0\right)\upsilon_n + \eta_n^0 Tw_n, \\ w_n = \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\upsilon_n + \eta_n^1 T\upsilon_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

$$\begin{cases} \omega_0 \in D, \\ \omega_{n+1} = \left(1-\eta_n^0\right)\omega_n + \eta_n^0 T\varpi_n, \\ \varpi_n = \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\omega_n + \eta_n^1 T\rho_n, \\ \rho_n = \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\omega_n + \eta_n^2 T\omega_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

$$\begin{cases} q_0 \in D, \\ q_{n+1} = \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)r_n + \eta_n^1 Tr_n, \\ r_n = \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)s_n + \eta_n^2 Ts_n, \\ s_n = \left(1-\eta_n^3\right)q_n + \eta_n^3 Tq_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

$$\begin{cases} t_0 \in D, \\ t_{n+1} = \left(1-\eta_n^0\right)Tt_n + \eta_n^0 Tu_n, \\ u_n = \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)t_n + \eta_n^1 Tt_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{1.9}$$

$$\begin{cases} u_0 \in D, \\ u_{n+1} = \left(1-\eta_n^0\right)v_n + \eta_n^0 Tv_n, \\ v_n = \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)Tu_n + \eta_n^1 Tw_n, \\ w_n = \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)u_n + \eta_n^2 Tu_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

Studying the convergence of fixed point iteration methods is of utmost importance from various aspects and thus, in recent years, much attention has been given to study of convergence of various iterative methods for different classes of operators, e.g. [24, 25, 28, 30, 40]. In many cases, there can be more than one iteration method to approximate fixed points of a particular mapping, e.g. [8, 22, 35, 36, 37, 44].

In such cases, one must make a choice among some given iteration methods by taking into account some important criteria. For example, there are two main criteria such as the speed of convergence and simplicity which make an iteration method more effective than the others. In such cases, the following problems arise naturally: Which one of these iteration methods converges faster to the fixed point in question? and How do we compare the speed of these iteration methods that converge to the same fixed point? There are only a few attempts to solve such an important numerical problem, see [3, 6, 7, 9, 16, 17, 22, 31, 34, 47, 48].

The following definitions about the rate of convergence are due to Berinde [5].

Definition 1. [5] *Let $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be two sequences of real numbers with limits a and b, respectively. Assume that there exists*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|a_n - a|}{|b_n - b|} = l. \tag{1.11}$$

(i) If $l = 0$, then we say that $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges faster to a than $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to b.

(ii) If $0 < l < \infty$, then we say that $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ have the same rate of convergence.

Definition 2. *Suppose that for two fixed point iteration processes $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ both converging to the same fixed point p, the following error estimates*

$$\|u_n - p\| \leq a_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.12}$$

$$\|v_n - p\| \leq b_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.13}$$

are available where $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are two sequences of positive numbers (converging to zero). If $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges faster than $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, then $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges faster than $\{v_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to p.

In the sequel, whenever we talk about the rate of convergence, we refer to the definitions given above.

In 2007, Agarwal et al. [2] addressed the following question: *Is it possible to develop an iteration process whose rate of convergence is faster than the Picard iteration (1.3)?*

They answered this problem by introducing an S-iteration method defined by (1.9). It was shown in [2] that S-iteration method (1.9) converges at a rate same as that of Picard iteration method (1.3) and faster than Mann iteration method (1.5) for the class of contraction mappings satisfying (1.4). In 2009, Sahu [38] was interested in the same problem and proved both theoretically and numerically that S-iteration method (1.9) converges at a rate faster than both Picard iteration method (1.3) and Mann iteration method (1.5) for the class of contraction mappings (1.4). A recent study by Chugh, et al. [10] shows that CR iterative method (1.10) converges faster than the Picard (1.3), Mann (1.5), Ishikawa (1.6), Noor (1.7), SP (1.8) and S (1.9) iterative methods for a particular class of quasi-contractive operators which include the aforementioned class of contraction operators.

Inspired by the works mentioned above, we propose the following problem:

Problem 1. *Is it possible to develop an iteration process whose rate of convergence is even faster than the iteration (1.10)?*

To answer this problem, we introduce the following iteration method called Picard-S iteration:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in D, \\ x_{n+1} = Ty_n, \\ \quad y_n = \left(1-\eta_n^1\right) Tx_n + \eta_n^1 Tz_n, \\ \quad z_n = \left(1-\eta_n^2\right) x_n + \eta_n^2 Tx_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

In this paper, we show that the Picard-S iteration method can be used to approximate fixed point of contraction mappings. Also, we show that our new iteration method is equivalent and converges faster than CR iteration method for the aforementioned class of mappings. Furthermore, by providing an example, when applied to contraction mappings it is shown that the Picard-S iteration method converges faster than CR iteration method and hence also faster than all Picard, Mann, Ishikawa, Noor, SP, S and some other iteration methods in the existing literature. A data dependence result is proven for fixed point of contraction mappings with the help of the new iteration method. Finally, we show that the Picard-S iteration method can be used as an effective method to solve differential equations with retarded argument.

In order to obtain our main results we need following definition and lemmas.

Definition 3. [4] *Let T,$\widetilde{T} : B \to B$ be two operators. We say that $\widetilde{T}$ is an approximate operator of T if for all $x \in B$ and for a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\left\| Tx - \widetilde{T}x \right\| \leq \varepsilon. \tag{1.15}$$

Lemma 1. [46]*Let $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{\rho_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be nonnegative real sequences satisfying the following inequality:*

$$\beta_{n+1} \leq (1-\lambda_n)\,\beta_n + \rho_n, \tag{1.16}$$

where $\lambda_n \in (0,1)$, for all $n \geq n_0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$, and $\frac{\rho_n}{\lambda_n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \beta_n = 0$.

Lemma 2. [41] *Let $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a nonnegative sequence for which one assumes there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $n \geq n_0$ one has satisfied the inequality*

$$\beta_{n+1} \leq (1-\mu_n)\,\beta_n + \mu_n\gamma_n, \tag{1.17}$$

where $\mu_n \in (0,1)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n = \infty$ and $\eta_n \geq 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following inequality holds

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n. \tag{1.18}$$

2. Main Results

Convergence Analysis

Theorem 1. *Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space B and $T : D \to D$ a contraction map satisfying condition (1.4). Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an iterative sequence generated by (1.14) with real sequences $\left\{\eta_n^i\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $i \in \{1,2\}$ in $[0,1]$ satisfying $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \eta_k^1\eta_k^2 = \infty$. Then $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to a unique fixed point of T, say x_*.*

Proof. The well-known Picard-Banach theorem guarantees the existence and uniqueness of x_*. We will show that $x_n \to x_*$ as $n \to \infty$. From (1.4) and (1.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - x_*\| &= \left\|\left(1-\eta_n^2\right)x_n + \eta_n^2 Tx_n - \left(1-\eta_n^2+\eta_n^2\right)x_*\right\| \\ &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n - x_*\| + \eta_n^2\|Tx_n - Tx_*\| \\ &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n - x_*\| + \eta_n^2\delta\|x_n - x_*\| \\ &= \left[1-\eta_n^2(1-\delta)\right]\|x_n - x_*\|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - x_*\| &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\|Tx_n - Tx_*\| + \eta_n^1\|Tz_n - Tx_*\| \\ &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\delta\|x_n - x_*\| + \eta_n^1\delta\|z_n - x_*\| \\ &\leq \delta\left\{1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2(1-\delta)\right\}\|x_n - x_*\|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x_*\| &\leq \|Ty_n - Tx_*\| \leq \delta\|y_n - x_*\| \\ &\leq \delta^2\left\{1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2(1-\delta)\right\}\|x_n - x_*\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Repetition of above processes gives the following inequalities

$$\begin{cases} \|x_{n+1} - x_*\| \leq \delta^2\left\{1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2(1-\delta)\right\}\|x_n - x_*\|, \\ \|x_n - x_*\| \leq \delta^2\left\{1-\eta_{n-1}^1\eta_{n-1}^2(1-\delta)\right\}\|x_{n-1} - x_*\|, \\ \|x_{n-1} - x_*\| \leq \delta^2\left\{1-\eta_{n-2}^1\eta_{n-2}^2(1-\delta)\right\}\|x_{n-2} - x_*\|, \\ \vdots \\ \|x_1 - x_*\| \leq \delta^2\left\{1-\eta_0^1\eta_0^2(1-\delta)\right\}\|x_0 - x_*\|. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

From inequalities given by (2.4), we derive

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_*\| \leq \|x_0 - x_*\|\,\delta^{2(n+1)}\prod_{k=0}^{n}\left\{1-\eta_k^1\eta_k^2(1-\delta)\right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

Since $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $\eta_n^i \in [0,1]$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $i \in \{1,2\}$

$$1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2(1-\delta) < 1 \tag{2.6}$$

It is well-known from the classical analysis that $1-x \leq e^{-x}$ for all $x \in [0,1]$. Taking into account these facts together with (2.5), we obtain

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_*\| \leq \|x_0 - x_*\|\,\delta^{2(n+1)}e^{-(1-\delta)\sum_{k=0}^{n}\eta_k^1\eta_k^2}. \tag{2.7}$$

Taking the limit of both sides of inequality (2.7) yields $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n - x_*\| = 0$, i.e. $x_n \to x_*$ as $n \to \infty$. □

Theorem 2. *Let D, B and T with fixed point x_* be as in Theorem 1. Let $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$be two iterative sequences defined by CR (1.10) and Picard-S (1.14) iteration methods, respectively, with real sequences $\left\{\eta_n^i\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ in $[0,1]$ satisfying $\sum_{k=0}^{n}\eta_k^1\eta_k^2 = \infty$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n - x_*\| = 0$*;*

(ii) $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|u_n - x_*\| = 0$.

Proof. We will prove (i)⇒(ii), that is, if Picard-S iteration method (1.14) converges to x_*, then CR iteration method (1.10) does too. Now by using (1.14), (1.10) and

condition (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1}-u_{n+1}\| &= \left\|Ty_n-\left(1-\eta_n^0\right)v_n-\eta_n^0Tv_n\right\| \\ &= \left\|\left(1-\eta_n^0+\eta_n^0\right)Ty_n-\left(1-\eta_n^0\right)v_n-\eta_n^0Tv_n\right\| \\ &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^0\right)\|Ty_n-v_n\|+\eta_n^0\|Ty_n-Tv_n\| \\ &\leq \left[1-\eta_n^0(1-\delta)\right]\|y_n-v_n\|+\left(1-\eta_n^0\right)\|y_n-Ty_n\| \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|y_n-v_n\| &= \left\|\left(1-\eta_n^1\right)Tx_n+\eta_n^1Tz_n-\left(1-\eta_n^1\right)Tu_n-\eta_n^1Tw_n\right\| \\ &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\|Tx_n-Tu_n\|+\eta_n^1\|Tz_n-Tw_n\| \\ &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\delta\|x_n-u_n\|+\eta_n^1\delta\|z_n-w_n\| \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|z_n-w_n\| &= \left\|\left(1-\eta_n^2\right)x_n+\eta_n^2Tx_n-\left(1-\eta_n^2\right)u_n-\eta_n^2Tu_n\right\| \\ &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n-u_n\|+\eta_n^2\|Tx_n-Tu_n\| \\ &\leq \left[1-\eta_n^2(1-\delta)\right]\|x_n-u_n\| \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Combining (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10)

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1}-u_{n+1}\| &\leq \delta\left[1-\eta_n^0(1-\delta)\right]\left[1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2(1-\delta)\right]\|x_n-u_n\| \\ &\quad +\left(1-\eta_n^0\right)\|y_n-Ty_n\| \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Since $\delta\in(0,1)$ and $\eta_n^i\in[0,1]$, for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and for each $i\in\{0,1,2\}$

$$\delta\left[1-\eta_n^0(1-\delta)\right]<1. \tag{2.12}$$

An application of the inequalitiy (2.12) to (2.11) yields

$$\|x_{n+1}-u_{n+1}\|\leq\left[1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2(1-\delta)\right]\|x_n-u_n\|+\left(1-\eta_n^0\right)\|y_n-Ty_n\|. \tag{2.13}$$

Define

$$\beta_n:=\|x_n-u_n\|,\ \lambda_n:=\eta_n^1\eta_n^2(1-\delta)\in(0,1),\ \rho_n:=\left(1-\eta_n^0\right)\|y_n-Ty_n\|. \tag{2.14}$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n-x_*\|=0$ and $Tx_*=x_*$, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|y_n-Ty_n\|=0$ which implies $\frac{\rho_n}{\lambda_n}\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$. Thus all conditions of Lemma 1 are fulfilled by (2.13), and so $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n-u_n\|=0$. Since

$$\|u_n-x_*\|\leq\|x_n-u_n\|+\|x_n-x_*\|, \tag{2.15}$$

$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|u_n-x_*\|=0$.

Next we will prove (ii)⇒(i). Using (1.14), (1.10) and condition (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_{n+1}-x_{n+1}\| &= \left\|v_n-Ty_n-\eta_n^0(v_n-Tv_n)\right\| \\ &\leq \|v_n-Ty_n\|+\eta_n^0\|v_n-Tv_n\| \\ &\leq \|Tv_n-Ty_n\|+\left(1+\eta_n^0\right)\|v_n-Tv_n\| \\ &\leq \delta\|v_n-y_n\|+\left(1+\eta_n^0\right)\|v_n-Tv_n\|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|v_n-y_n\| &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\|Tu_n-Tx_n\|+\eta_n^1\|Tw_n-Tz_n\| \\ &\leq \delta\left\{\left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\|u_n-x_n\|+\eta_n^1\|w_n-z_n\|\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|w_n-z_n\| &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|u_n-x_n\|+\eta_n^2\|Tu_n-Tx_n\| \\ &\leq \left[1-\eta_n^2(1-\delta)\right]\|u_n-x_n\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Combining (2.16), (2.17), and (2.18)

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1}-x_{n+1}\| &\leq \delta^2\left[1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2(1-\delta)\right]\|u_n-x_n\|+\left(1+\eta_n^0\right)\|v_n-Tv_n\| \\ &\leq \left[1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2(1-\delta)\right]\|u_n-x_n\|+\left(1+\eta_n^0\right)\|v_n-Tv_n\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Denote that

$$\beta_n := \|u_n-x_n\|,\ \lambda_n := \eta_n^1\eta_n^2(1-\delta)\in(0,1),\ \rho_n := \left(1+\eta_n^0\right)\|v_n-Tv_n\|. \tag{2.20}$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|u_n-x_*\|=0$ and $Tx_*=x_*$, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|v_n-Tv_n\|=0$ which implies $\frac{\rho_n}{\lambda_n}\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$. Hence an application of Lemma 1 to (2.19) yields $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|u_n-x_n\|=0$. Since

$$\|x_n-x_*\|\leq\|u_n-x_n\|+\|u_n-x_*\|, \tag{2.21}$$

$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n-x_*\|=0$. □

Theorem 3. *Let D, B and T with fixed point x_* be as in Theorem 1. Let $\left\{\eta_n^i\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $i\in\{0,1,2\}$ be real sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying*

(i) $\eta_0\leq\eta_n^0\leq 1$, $\eta_1\leq\eta_n^1\leq 1$ and $\eta_2\leq\eta_n^2\leq 1$, for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and for some η_0, η_1, $\eta_2>0$.

For given $x_0=u_0\in D$, consider iterative sequences $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by (1.10) and (1.14), respectively. Then $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to x_* faster than $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ does.

Proof. The following inequality comes from inequality (2.5) of Theorem 1

$$\|x_{n+1}-x_*\|\leq\|x_0-x_*\|\,\delta^{2(n+1)}\prod_{k=0}^{n}\left\{1-\eta_k^1\eta_k^2(1-\delta)\right\}. \tag{2.22}$$

The following inequality is due to ([20], inequality (2.26) of Theorem 3)

$$\|u_{n+1}-x_*\|\leq\|u_0-x_*\|\prod_{k=0}^{n}\left[1-\eta_k^0(1-\delta)\right]\left[1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2(1-\delta)\right]\delta, \tag{2.23}$$

which is obtained from (1.10).

An application of assumption (i) to (2.22) and (2.23), respectively, leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1}-x_*\| &\leq \|x_0-x_*\|\,\delta^{2(n+1)}\prod_{k=0}^{n}\{1-\eta_1\eta_2(1-\delta)\} \\ &= \|x_0-x_*\|\,\delta^{2(n+1)}\left[1-\eta_1\eta_2(1-\delta)\right]^{n+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1}-x_*\| &\leq \|u_0-x_*\|\prod_{k=0}^{n}\left[1-\eta_0(1-\delta)\right]\left[1-\eta_1\eta_2(1-\delta)\right]\delta \\ &= \|u_0-x_*\|\,\delta^{n+1}\left[1-\eta_0(1-\delta)\right]^{n+1}\left[1-\eta_1\eta_2(1-\delta)\right]^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Define

$$a_n := \delta^{2(n+1)}\left[1-\eta_1\eta_2(1-\delta)\right]^{n+1}\|x_0-x_*\|, \tag{2.26}$$

$$b_n := \delta^{n+1}\left[1-\eta_0(1-\delta)\right]^{n+1}\left[1-\eta_1\eta_2(1-\delta)\right]^{n+1}\|u_0-x_*\|, \tag{2.27}$$

$$\theta_n \;:=\; \frac{a_n}{b_n} = \frac{\delta^{2(n+1)}\left[1-\eta_1\eta_2\left(1-\delta\right)\right]^{n+1}\left\|x_0-x_*\right\|}{\delta^{n+1}\left[1-\eta_0\left(1-\delta\right)\right]^{n+1}\left[1-\eta_1\eta_2\left(1-\delta\right)\right]^{n+1}\left\|u_0-x_*\right\|}$$

$$:= \left[\frac{\delta}{1-\eta_0\left(1-\delta\right)}\right]^{n+1}. \tag{2.28}$$

Since δ, $\eta_0 \in (0,1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_0 &< 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \quad \eta_0\left(1-\delta\right) < 1-\delta \\ &\Rightarrow \quad \delta < 1-\eta_0\left(1-\delta\right) \\ &\Rightarrow \quad \frac{\delta}{1-\eta_0\left(1-\delta\right)} < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\theta_{n+1}}{\theta_n} = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\left[\frac{\delta}{1-\eta_0(1-\delta)}\right]^{n+2}}{\left[\frac{\delta}{1-\eta_0(1-\delta)}\right]^{n+1}} = \frac{\delta}{1-\eta_0\left(1-\delta\right)} < 1. \tag{2.30}$$

It thus follows from well-known ratio test that $\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty}\theta_n < \infty$. Hence, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty}\theta_n = 0$ which implies that $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is faster than $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. □

In order to support analytical proof of Theorem 3 and to illustrate the efficiency of Picard-S iteration method (1.14), we will use a numerical example provided by Sahu [38] for the sake of consistent comparison.

Example 1. *Let $B = \mathbb{R}$ and $D = [0,\infty)$. Let $T : D \to D$ be a mapping defined by $Tx = \sqrt[3]{3x+18}$ for all $x \in D$. T is a contraction with contractivity factor $\delta = 1/\sqrt[3]{18}$ and $x_* = 3$, see* [38]. *Take $\eta_n^0 = \eta_k^1 = \eta_k^2 = 1/2$ with initial value $x_0 = 1000$. The following tables 1-2-3 show that Picard-S iteration method (1.14) converges faster than all Picard (1.3), Mann (1.5), Ishikawa (1.6), Noor (1.7), SP (1.8), S (1.9) , CR (1.10), Normal-S* [39], *S** [19] *and Two-Step Mann* [45] *iteration methods including a new three-step iteration method due to Abbas and Nazir* [1].

Table 1. Comparison speed of convergence among various iteration methods

No. of Iter.	Picard-S	S	Normal-S	S*
1	3.848449787	12.99923955	11.54719590	9.149866494
2	3.007911860	3.679603368	3.446604313	3.309032025
3	3.000075950	3.057482809	3.027275056	3.018443229
4	3.000000729	3.004958405	3.001682532	3.001112415
5	3.000000007	3.000428434	3.000103856	3.000067138
6	3.000000000	3.000037025	3.000006411	3.000004052
7	3.000000000	3.000003200	3.000000396	3.000000245
8	3.000000000	3.000000276	3.000000024	3.000000016
9	3.000000000	3.000000024	3.000000001	3.000000001
10	3.000000000	3.000000002	3.000000000	3.000000000
11	3.000000000	3.000000000	3.000000000	3.000000000
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮

Table 2. Comparison speed of convergence among various iteration methods

No. of Iter.	Picard	SP	CR	Abbas & Nazir
1	14.45128320	134.3273583	8.423844669	7.697822844
2	3.944094141	21.81696908	3.224582695	3.150763238
3	3.101431265	5.994481952	3.010704011	3.005356237
4	3.011228065	3.504188621	3.000513730	3.000191005
5	3.001247045	3.086158574	3.000024664	3.000006812
6	3.000138554	3.014764667	3.000001184	3.000000242
7	3.000015395	3.002531406	3.000000057	3.000000010
8	3.000001710	3.000434048	3.000000002	3.000000000
9	3.000000190	3.000074424	3.000000000	3.000000000
10	3.000000021	3.000012761	3.000000000	3.000000000
11	3.000000002	3.000002187	3.000000000	3.000000000
12	3.000000000	3.000000376	3.000000000	3.000000000
13	3.000000000	3.000000064	3.000000000	3.000000000
14	3.000000000	3.000000011	3.000000000	3.000000000
15	3.000000000	3.000000002	3.000000000	3.000000000
16	3.000000000	3.000000000	3.000000000	3.000000000
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮

Table 3. Comparison speed of convergence among various iteration methods

No. of Iter.	Mann	Ishikawa	Noor	Two-step Mann
1	507.2256416	505.7735980	505.7681478	259.3864188
2	259.3864188	257.5166864	257.5072981	70.91103158
3	134.3273583	132.4972579	132.4845970	21.81696908
4	70.91103158	69.30291326	69.28740168	8.474131740
5	38.52222997	37.19141418	37.17367673	4.647951504
6	21.81696908	20.76243714	20.74360422	3.504188621
7	13.09346232	12.28975230	12.27143253	3.155173770
8	8.474131740	7.884743216	7.868548900	3.047850681
9	5.994481952	5.578322215	5.565269205	3.014764667
10	4.647951504	4.364258381	4.354541966	3.004556608
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
20	3.004556608	3.002415570	3.002338681	3.000000036
21	3.002531406	3.001282335	3.001238310	3.000000011
22	3.001406323	3.000680745	3.000655675	3.000000003
23	3.000781287	3.000361382	3.000347175	3.000000001
24	3.000434048	3.000191845	3.000183827	3.000000000
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
41	3.000000020	3.000000004	3.000000003	3.000000000
42	3.000000011	3.000000002	3.000000002	3.000000000
43	3.000000006	3.000000001	3.000000001	3.000000000
44	3.000000003	3.000000000	3.000000000	3.000000000
45	3.000000002	3.000000000	3.000000000	3.000000000
46	3.000000001	3.000000000	3.000000000	3.000000000
47	3.000000000	3.000000000	3.000000000	3.000000000
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮

A Data Dependence Result

We are now able to establish the following data dependence result.

Theorem 4. *Let $\widetilde{T}$ be an approximate operator of T satisfying condition (1.4). Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an iterative sequence generated by (1.14) for T and define an iterative sequence $\{\widetilde{x}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ as follows*

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{x}_0 \in D, \\ \widetilde{x}_{n+1} = \widetilde{T}\widetilde{y}_n, \\ \quad \widetilde{y}_n = \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\widetilde{T}\widetilde{x}_n + \eta_n^1\widetilde{T}\widetilde{z}_n, \\ \quad \widetilde{z}_n = \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\widetilde{x}_n + \eta_n^2\widetilde{T}\widetilde{x}_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{2.31}$$

where $\left\{\eta_n^i\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $i \in \{0,1,2\}$ be real sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying (i) $\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta_n^1\eta_n^2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and (ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\eta_n^1\eta_n^2 = \infty$. If $Tx_* = x_*$ and $\widetilde{T}\widetilde{x}_* = \widetilde{x}_*$ such that $\widetilde{x}_n \to \widetilde{x}_*$ as $n \to \infty$, then we have

$$\|x_* - \widetilde{x}_*\| \leq \frac{5\varepsilon}{1-\delta}, \tag{2.32}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a fixed number.

Proof. It follows from (1.4), (1.14), and (2.31) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}_{n+1}\| &= \left\|Ty_n - T\widetilde{y}_n + T\widetilde{y}_n - \widetilde{T}\widetilde{y}_n\right\| \\ &\leq \|Ty_n - T\widetilde{y}_n\| + \left\|T\widetilde{y}_n - \widetilde{T}\widetilde{y}_n\right\| \\ &\leq \delta\|y_n - \widetilde{y}_n\| + \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - \widetilde{y}_n\| &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\left\|Tx_n - \widetilde{T}\widetilde{x}_n\right\| + \eta_n^1\left\|Tz_n - \widetilde{T}\widetilde{z}_n\right\| \\ &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\left\{\|Tx_n - T\widetilde{x}_n\| + \left\|T\widetilde{x}_n - \widetilde{T}\widetilde{x}_n\right\|\right\} \\ &\quad +\eta_n^1\left\{\|Tz_n - T\widetilde{z}_n\| + \left\|T\widetilde{z}_n - \widetilde{T}\widetilde{z}_n\right\|\right\} \\ &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\left\{\delta\|x_n - \widetilde{x}_n\| + \varepsilon\right\} + \eta_n^1\left\{\delta\|z_n - \widetilde{z}_n\| + \varepsilon\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - \widetilde{z}_n\| &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n - \widetilde{x}_n\| + \eta_n^2\left\|Tx_n - \widetilde{T}\widetilde{x}_n\right\| \\ &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n - \widetilde{x}_n\| + \eta_n^2\left\{\|Tx_n - T\widetilde{x}_n\| + \left\|T\widetilde{x}_n - \widetilde{T}\widetilde{x}_n\right\|\right\} \\ &\leq \left[1-\eta_n^2\left(1-\delta\right)\right]\|x_n - \widetilde{x}_n\| + \eta_n^2\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

Combining (2.33), (2.34), and (2.35) and using the facts δ and $\delta^2 \in (0,1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}_{n+1}\| &\leq \delta^2\left[1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2\left(1-\delta\right)\right]\|x_n - \widetilde{x}_n\| + \eta_n^1\delta^2\eta_n^2\varepsilon + \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\delta\varepsilon + \delta\eta_n^1\varepsilon + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \left[1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2\left(1-\delta\right)\right]\|x_n - \widetilde{x}_n\| + \eta_n^1\eta_n^2\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From assumption (i) we have

$$1 - \eta_n^1\eta_n^2 \leq \eta_n^1\eta_n^2,$$

and thus, inequality (2.36) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}_{n+1}\| &\leq \left[1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2\left(1-\delta\right)\right]\|x_n - \widetilde{x}_n\| + \eta_n^1\eta_n^2\varepsilon + 2\left(1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2 + \eta_n^1\eta_n^2\right)\varepsilon \\ &\leq \left[1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2\left(1-\delta\right)\right]\|x_n - \widetilde{x}_n\| + \eta_n^1\eta_n^2\left(1-\delta\right)\frac{5\varepsilon}{1-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote that

$$\beta_n := \|x_n - \widetilde{x}_n\|, \ \mu_n := \eta_n^1 \eta_n^2 (1-\delta) \in (0,1), \ \gamma_n := \frac{5\varepsilon}{1-\delta}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - \widetilde{x}_n\| \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{5\varepsilon}{1-\delta}. \tag{2.36}$$

From Theorem 1 we know that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x_*$. Thus, using this fact together with the assumption $\lim_{n\to\infty} \widetilde{x}_n = \widetilde{x}_*$ we obtain

$$\|x_* - \widetilde{x}_*\| \leq \frac{5\varepsilon}{1-\delta}. \tag{2.37}$$

□

An Application

Throughout the rest of this paper, the space $C([a,b])$ with endowed Chebyshev norm $\|x-y\|_\infty = \max_{t\in[a,b]} |x(t) - y(t)|$ denotes the space of all continuous real-valued functions on a closed interval $[a,b]$. It is well-known that $(C([a,b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a Banach space, see [15].

In this section we will be interested in the following delay differential equation

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t), x(t-\tau)), \ t \in [t_0, b] \tag{2.38}$$

with initial condition

$$x(t) = \psi(t), \ t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0]. \tag{2.39}$$

We opine that the following conditions are performed

(A$_1$) t_0,$b \in \mathbb{R}$,$\tau > 0$;

(A$_2$) $f \in C\left([t_0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}\right)$;

(A$_3$) $\psi \in C([t_0 - \tau, b], \mathbb{R})$;

(A$_4$) there exist $L_f > 0$ such that

$$|f(t,u_1,u_2) - f(t,v_1,v_2)| \leq L_f \sum_{i=1}^{2} |u_i - v_i|, \ \forall u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}, \ i = 1,2, \ t \in [t_0, b]; \tag{2.40}$$

(A$_5$) $2L_f(b - t_0) < 1$.

By a solution of the problem (2.38)-(2.39) we understand a function $x \in C([t_0 - \tau, b], \mathbb{R}) \cap C^1([t_0, b], \mathbb{R})$.

The problem (2.38)-(2.39) can be reformulated in the following form of integral equation

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} \psi(t), & t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0], \\ \psi(t_0) + \displaystyle\int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, x(s), x(s-\tau))\, ds, & t \in [t_0, b]. \end{cases} \tag{2.41}$$

The following result can be found in [11].

Theorem 5. *Assume that conditions $(A_1) - (A_5)$ are hold. Then the problem $(2.38) - (2.39)$ has a unique solution, say x_*, in $C([t_0 - \tau, b], \mathbb{R}) \cap C^1([t_0, b], \mathbb{R})$ and*

$$x_* = \lim_{n\to\infty} T^n(x) \ \text{for any } x \in C([t_0 - \tau, b], \mathbb{R}).$$

Now we are in a position to give the following result.

Theorem 6. *Suppose that conditions* $(A_1)-(A_5)$ *are satisfied. Then the problem* (2.38) − (2.39) *has a unique solution, say* x_**, in* $C\left([t_0-\tau,b],\mathbb{R}\right)\cap C^1\left([t_0,b],\mathbb{R}\right)$ *and Picard-S iteration method (1.14) converges to* x_**.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a iterative sequence generated by Picard-S iteration method (1.14) for the operator

$$Tx(t)=\begin{cases}\psi(t), & t\in[t_0-\tau,t_0],\\ \psi(t_0)+\displaystyle\int_{t_0}^{t} f(s,x(s),x(s-\tau))\,ds, & t\in[t_0,b].\end{cases} \tag{2.42}$$

Denote by x_* the fixed point of T. We will show that $x_n\to x_*$ as $n\to\infty$.

For $t\in[t_0-\tau,t_0]$, it is easy to see that $x_n\to x_*$ as $n\to\infty$.

For $t\in[t_0,b]$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z_n-x_*\|_\infty &= \left\|\left(1-\eta_n^2\right)x_n+\eta_n^2Tx_n-Tx_*\right\|_\infty\\
&\le \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n-x_*\|_\infty+\eta_n^2\|Tx_n-Tx_*\|_\infty\\
&= \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n-x_*\|_\infty+\eta_n^2\max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}|Tx_n(t)-Tx_*(t)|\\
&= \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n-x_*\|_\infty\\
&\quad+\eta_n^2\max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}\left|\psi(t_0)+\int_{t_0}^{t} f(s,x_n(s),x_n(s-\tau))\,ds\right.\\
&\quad\left.-\psi(t_0)-\int_{t_0}^{t} f(s,x_*(s),x_*(s-\tau))\,ds\right|\\
&= \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n-x_*\|_\infty\\
&\quad+\eta_n^2\max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}\left|\int_{t_0}^{t} f(s,x_n(s),x_n(s-\tau))\,ds\right.\\
&\quad\left.-\int_{t_0}^{t} f(s,x_*(s),x_*(s-\tau))\,ds\right|\\
&\le \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n-x_*\|_\infty\\
&\quad+\eta_n^2\max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}\int_{t_0}^{t}|f(s,x_n(s),x_n(s-\tau))-f(s,x_*(s),x_*(s-\tau))|\,ds\\
&\le \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n-x_*\|_\infty\\
&\quad+\eta_n^2\max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}\int_{t_0}^{t} L_f\left(|x_n(s)-x_*(s)|+|x_n(s-\tau)-x_*(s-\tau)|\right)ds\\
&\le \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n-x_*\|_\infty\\
&\quad+\eta_n^2\int_{t_0}^{t} L_f\left(\max_{s\in[t_0-\tau,b]}|x_n(s)-x_*(s)|+\max_{s\in[t_0-\tau,b]}|x_n(s-\tau)-x_*(s-\tau)|\right)ds\\
&\le \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n-x_*\|_\infty\\
&\quad+\eta_n^2\int_{t_0}^{t} L_f\left(\|x_n-x_*\|_\infty+\|x_n-x_*\|_\infty\right)ds\\
&\le \left(1-\eta_n^2\right)\|x_n-x_*\|_\infty+2\eta_n^2L_f(t-t_0)\|x_n-x_*\|_\infty\\
&\le \left[1-\eta_n^2\left(1-2L_f(b-t_0)\right)\right]\|x_n-x_*\|_\infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n - x_*\|_\infty &\leq \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\|Tx_n - Tx_*\|_\infty + \eta_n^1\|Tz_n - Tx_*\|_\infty \\
&= \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}\left|\int_{t_0}^{t}\left[f\left(s,x_n\left(s\right),x_n\left(s-\tau\right)\right)-f\left(s,x_*\left(s\right),x_*\left(s-\tau\right)\right)\right]ds\right| \\
&\quad +\eta_n^1\max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}\left|\int_{t_0}^{t}\left[f\left(s,z_n\left(s\right),z_n\left(s-\tau\right)\right)-f\left(s,x_*\left(s\right),x_*\left(s-\tau\right)\right)\right]ds\right| \\
&\leq \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}\int_{t_0}^{t}\left|f\left(s,x_n\left(s\right),x_n\left(s-\tau\right)\right)-f\left(s,x_*\left(s\right),x_*\left(s-\tau\right)\right)\right|ds \\
&\quad +\eta_n^1\max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}\int_{t_0}^{t}\left|f\left(s,z_n\left(s\right),z_n\left(s-\tau\right)\right)-f\left(s,x_*\left(s\right),x_*\left(s-\tau\right)\right)\right|ds \\
&\leq \left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}\int_{t_0}^{t}L_f\left(\left|x_n\left(s\right)-x_*\left(s\right)\right|+\left|x_n\left(s-\tau\right)-x_*\left(s-\tau\right)\right|\right)ds \\
&\quad +\eta_n^1\max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}\int_{t_0}^{t}L_f\left(\left|z_n\left(s\right)-x_*\left(s\right)\right|+\left|z_n\left(s-\tau\right)-x_*\left(s-\tau\right)\right|\right)ds \\
&\leq 2L_f\left(b-t_0\right)\left\{\left(1-\eta_n^1\right)\|x_n - x_*\|_\infty + \eta_n^1\|z_n - x_*\|_\infty\right\}, \qquad (2.44)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - x_*\|_\infty &= \|Ty_n - Tx_*\|_\infty \\
&= \max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}\left|\int_{t_0}^{t}\left[f\left(s,y_n\left(s\right),y_n\left(s-\tau\right)\right)-f\left(s,x_*\left(s\right),x_*\left(s-\tau\right)\right)\right]ds\right| \\
&\leq \max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}\int_{t_0}^{t}\left|f\left(s,y_n\left(s\right),y_n\left(s-\tau\right)\right)-f\left(s,x_*\left(s\right),x_*\left(s-\tau\right)\right)\right|ds \\
&\leq \max_{t\in[t_0-\tau,b]}\int_{t_0}^{t}L_f\left(\left|y_n\left(s\right)-x_*\left(s\right)\right|+\left|y_n\left(s-\tau\right)-x_*\left(s-\tau\right)\right|\right)ds \\
&\leq 2L_f\left(b-t_0\right)\|y_n - x_*\|_\infty . \qquad (2.45)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.43), (2.44), and (2.45)

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_*\|_\infty \leq 4L_f^2\left(b-t_0\right)^2\left[1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2\left(1-2L_f\left(b-t_0\right)\right)\right]\|x_n - x_*\|_\infty , \qquad (2.46)$$

or from assumption (A_5)

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_*\|_\infty \leq \left[1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2\left(1-2L_f\left(b-t_0\right)\right)\right]\|x_n - x_*\|_\infty . \qquad (2.47)$$

Therefore, inductively

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_*\|_\infty \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n}\left[1-\eta_k^1\eta_k^2\left(1-2L_f\left(b-t_0\right)\right)\right]\|x_0 - x_*\|_\infty . \qquad (2.48)$$

Since $\eta_n^i \in [0,1]$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $i \in \{1,2\}$, assumption (A_5) yields

$$1-\eta_n^1\eta_n^2\left(1-2L_f\left(b-t_0\right)\right) < 1. \qquad (2.49)$$

Utilizing the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_*\|_\infty \leq \|x_0 - x_*\|_\infty^{n+1} e^{-\left(1-2L_f\left(b-t_0\right)\right)\sum_{k=0}^{n}\eta_k^1\eta_k^2}, \qquad (2.50)$$

which lead us to $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n - x_*\|_\infty = 0$. □

References

[1] Abbas, M. & Nazir, T. 2013. A new faster iteration process applied to constrained minimization and feasibility problems. Matematicki Vesnik, Article.

[2] Agarwal, R., O Regan, D. & Sahu, D. 2007. Iterative construction of fixed points of nearly asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis 8: 61-79.

[3] Babu, G. V. R. & Prasad, K. N. V. V. V. 2006. Mann iteration converges faster than Ishikawa iteration for the class of Zamfirescu operators. Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2006(1).

[4] Berinde, V. 2007. Iterative Approximation of Fixed Points. Springer, Berlin.

[5] Berinde, V. 2004. Picard iteration converges faster than the Mann iteration in the class of quasi-contractive operators. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2: 97-105.

[6] Berinde, V. 2004. Comparing Krasnoselskij and Mann iterations for Lipschitzian generalized pseudocontractive operators. Proceed. of Int. Conf. On Fixed Point Theory, Univ. of Valencia. Yokohama Publishers.

[7] Berinde, V. & Berinde, M. 2005. The fastest Krasnoselskij iteration for approximating fixed points of strictly pseudo-contractive mappings. Carpathian J. Math 21(1-2): 13-20.

[8] Chang, S. S., Cho, Y. J. & Kim, J. K. 2003. The equivalence between the convergence of modified Picard, modified Mann, and modified Ishikawa iterations. Mathematical and Computer Modelling 37(9): 985-991.

[9] Chugh, R. & Kumar, V. 2012. Stability of hybrid fixed point iterative algorithms of Kirk-Noor type in normed linear space for self and nonself operators. International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical sciences 7: 1165-1184.

[10] Chugh, R., Kumar, V. & Kumar, S. 2012. Strong Convergence of a new three step iterative scheme in Banach spaces. American Journal of Computational Mathematics 2: 345-357.

[11] Coman, GH., Pavel, G., Rus, I. & Rus, I. A. 1976. Introduction in the theory of operatorial equation. Ed. Dacia, Cluj-Napoca.

[12] Gürsoy, F. & Karakaya, V. 2014. Some Convergence and Stability Results for Two New Kirk Type Hybrid Fixed Point Iterative Algorithms. Journal of Function Spaces doi:10.1155/2014/684191.

[13] Gürsoy, F., Karakaya, V. & Rhoades, B.E. 2014. Some Convergence and Stability Results for the Kirk Multistep and Kirk-SP Fixed Point Iterative Algorithms. Abstract and Applied Analysis doi:10.1155/2014/806537.

[14] Gürsoy, F., Karakaya, V. & Rhoades, B.E. 2013. Data dependence results of new multistep and S-iterative schemes for contractive-like operators. Fixed Point Theory and Applications doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-76.

[15] Hämmerlin, G. & Hoffmann, K. H. 1991. Numerical mathematics. Springer.

[16] Hussain, N., Chugh, R., Kumar, V. & Rafiq, A. 2012. On the rate of convergence of Kirk-type iterative schemes. Journal of Applied Mathematics 2012.

[17] Hussain, N., Rafiq, A., Damjanović, B. & Lazović, R. 2011. On rate of convergence of various iterative schemes. Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2011(1): 1-6.

[18] Ishikawa, S. 1974. Fixed points by a new iteration method. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 44: 147-150.

[19] Karahan, I. & Ozdemir, M. 2013. A general iterative method for approximation of fixed points and their applications. Advances in Fixed Point Theory 3(3).

[20] Karakaya, V., Gürsoy, F. & Ertürk, M. 2014. Comparison of The Speed of Convergence Among Various Iterative Schemes. arXiv preprint arXiv:1402.6080.

[21] Karakaya, V., Gürsoy, F., Doğan, K. & Ertürk, M. 2103. Data Dependence Results for Multistep and CR Iterative Schemes in the Class of Contractive-Like Operators. Abstract and Applied Analysis doi:10.1155/2013/381980.

[22] Karakaya, V., Doğan, K., Gürsoy, F. & Ertürk, M. 2013. Fixed Point of a New Three-Step Iteration Algorithm under Contractive-Like Operators over Normed Spaces. Abstract and Applied Analysis 2013.

[23] Khan, S. H. 2013. A Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process. Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2013(1): 1-10.

[24] Kirk, W. 1971. On successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. Glasgow Math. J. 12:6-9.

[25] Krasnosel'skii, M.A. 1955. Two remarks on the method of successive approximations. Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk 10: 123-127.

[26] Mann, W.R. 1953. Mean value methods in iteration. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 4: 506-510.
[27] Noor, M.A. 2000. New approximation schemes for general variational inequalities. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 251: 217-229.
[28] Olatinwo, M. 2009. Some stability results for two hybrid fixed point iterative algorithms in normed linear space. Matematique Vesnik 61: 247-256.
[29] Olatinwo, M.O. 2008. Some stabilty results for two hybrid fixed point iterative algorithms of Kirk-Ishikawa and Kirk-Mann type. Journal of AdvancedMathematical Studies 1(1-2): 87-96.
[30] Olatinwo, M., Owojori, O. & Imoru, C. 2006. Some stability results for fixed point iteration processes. Aus. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 3: 1-7.
[31] Phuengrattana, W. & Suantai, S. 2012. Comparison of the Rate of Convergence of Various Iterative Methods for the Class of Weak Contractions in Banach Spaces. Thai Journal of Mathematics 11(1): 217-226.
[32] Phuengrattana, W. & Suantai, S. 2011. On the rate of convergence of Mann, Ishikawa, Noor and SP-iterations for continuous functions on an arbitrary interval. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 235: 3006-3014.
[33] Picard, E., (1890). "Mémoire sur la théorie des équations aux dérivées partielles et la méthode des approximations successives", Journal de Mathématiques pures et appliquées, 6:145-210.
[34] Popescu, O. 2007. Picard iteration converges faster than Mann iteration for a class of quasiontractive operators. Math. Commun. 12: 195-202.
[35] Rhoades, B. E. & Şoltuz, S. M. 2004. The equivalence between the convergences of Ishikawa and Mann iterations for an asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense and strongly successively pseudocontractive maps. Journal of mathematical analysis and applications 289(1): 266-278.
[36] Rhoades, B. & Soltuz, S.M. 2004. The equivalence between Mann–Ishikawa iterations and multistep iteration. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications 58: 219-228.
[37] Rhoades, B. E. 1976. Comments on two fixed point iteration methods. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 56(2): 741-750.
[38] Sahu, D. R. 2011. Applications of the S-iteration process to constrained minimization problems and split feasibility problems. Fixed Point Theory 12(1): 187-204.
[39] Sahu, D. R. & Petrusel, A. 2011. Strong convergence of iterative methods by strictly pseudocontractive mappings in Banach spaces. NonlinearAnalysis: Theory, Methods &Applications 74(17): 6012-6023.
[40] Schaefer, H. 1957. Über die Methode sukzessiver Approximationen. Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung 59: 131-140.
[41] Soltuz, S.M. & Grosan, T. 2008. Data dependence for Ishikawa iteration when dealing with contractive like operators. Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2008: 242916(1-7).
[42] Soltuz, S. M. & Otrocol, D. 2007. Classical results via Mann-Ishikawa iteration. Revue d'Analyse Numerique de l'Approximation 36(2).
[43] Soltuz, S. M. & Otrocol, D. 2007. The convergence of Mann iteration with delay. Mathematical Sciences Research 11(3): 390-393.
[44] Sun, H. & Xue, Z. 2013. Reconsiderations on the Equivalence of Convergence between Mann and Ishikawa Iterations for Asymptotically Pseudocontractive Mappings. Journal of Applied Mathematics 2013.
[45] Thianwan, S. 2009. Common fixed points of new iterations for two asymptotically nonexpansive nonself-mappings in a Banach space. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 224: 688-695.
[46] Weng, X. 1991. Fixed point iteration for local strictly pseudocontractive mapping. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 113: 727-731.
[47] Xue, Z. 2008. The comparison of the convergence speed between Picard, Mann, Krasnoselskij and Ishikawa iterations in Banach spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2008.
[48] Yuan, Q. & Rhoades, B.E. 2008. Comments on the rate of convergence between Mann and Ishikawa iterations applied to Zamfirescu operators. Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2008.

Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Adiyaman University, 02040 Adiyaman, Turkey.
E-mail address: faikgursoy02@hotmail.com

Department of Mathematical Engineering, Yildiz Technical University, Davutpasa Campus, Esenler, 34210 Istanbul, Turkey
E-mail address: vkkaya@yahoo.com; vkkaya@yildiz.edu.tr
URL: http://www.yarbis1.yildiz.edu.tr/vkkaya